# Hydraulic properties and photosynthetic rates in co-occurring lianas and trees in a seasonal tropical rainforest in southwestern China

Shi-Dan Zhu · Kun-Fang Cao

Received: 9 September 2008/Accepted: 23 February 2009/Published online: 14 March 2009 © Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2009

Abstract In this study, we examined wood anatomy, hydraulic properties, photosynthetic rate, and water status and osmotic regulation in three liana species and three tree species co-occurring in a seasonal tropical rain forest. Our results showed that the three liana species had larger vessel diameter, lower sapwood density, and consequently higher branch sapwood specific hydraulic conductivity  $(K_S)$  than the three tree species. Across species,  $K_S$  was positively correlated with leaf nitrogen concentration and maximum net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate. However, it was also positively correlated with xylem water potential at 50% loss of hydraulic conductivity, indicating a trade-off between hydraulic efficiency and safety. Compared to the tree species, the liana species had higher predawn leaf water potential and lower osmotic adjustment in the dry season. The combination of more efficient water transport, higher photosynthetic rates, and their ability to access to more reliable water source at deeper soil

Key Laboratory of Tropical Forest Ecology, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Mengla, Yunnan Province 666303, China e-mail: caokf@xtbg.ac.cn

S.-D. Zhu e-mail: zhusd@xtbg.ac.cn

S.-D. Zhu

Graduate University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, 19A Yuquan Road, Beijing 100049, China layers in the dry season in the lianas should contribute to their fast growth.

**Keywords** Hydraulic conductivity · Xylem cavitation · Leaf water potential · Osmotic regulation

# Introduction

Lianas are important components of tropical rain forests; they usually distribute most of their leaves on the top of the canopy and cast shade on their host trees, which may reduce the growth rates and increase mortality rates of the hosts (Putz 1984a, b). Lianas can rapidly colonize the canopy gaps in the forests and proliferate in heavily disturbed forests (Putz 1984b; Schnitzer and Bongers 2002; Schnitzer et al. 2000). With the exacerbation of forest fragmentation and global environmental change, the abundance of lianas in tropical forests is increasing (Granados and Körner 2002; Wright et al. 2004; Zhu et al. 2004), which will consequently influence the species composition and ecosystem service of tropical forests.

Lianas have relatively little structural support and typically have a very high canopy: stem biomass ratio, resulting in a higher proportion of assimilating biomass than other woody plants (Schnitzer and Bongers 2002). For example, liana biomass accounts for less than 10% of total forest biomass but up to 40% of the leaf biomass of tropical rain forests in Panama (Putz 1984b). Lianas have wide and large vessels (Ewers

S.-D. Zhu · K.-F. Cao (🖂)

et al. 1990), and thus should have high hydraulic conductivity, which should compensate hydraulically for their narrow and long stem (Chiu and Ewers 1992; Ewers and Fisher 1991; Field and Balun 2008). Since water supply influences leaf water potential and stomatal conductance, hydraulic conductance is strongly correlated with photosynthetic capacity of plants (Brodribb and Feild 2000; Brodribb et al. 2002).

The abundance of lianas increases with the rainfall seasonality in tropics (Reddy and Parthasarathy 2003; Schnitzer 2005; Swaine and Grace 2007). Schnitzer (2005) found that in seasonal tropical rain forests, lianas were able to grow significantly more than trees during the dry season relative to their growth in the wet season. Similarly, Cai (2007) found that in a seasonal rain forest of the same region as used in the present study, the photosynthesis of lianas in the dry season was less affected than the trees. In this context, we might expect that lianas suffer little from water stress during a dry season. Several morphological and physiological traits are involved in drought resistance, including rooting depth (Trifilò et al. 2004), xylem vulnerability to cavitation (Choat et al. 2007; Lopez et al. 2005; Maherali et al. 2004), and osmotic adjustment (Clayton-Greene 1983; Cao 2000). Lianas have been shown to have deep root systems (Restom and Nepstad 2004), but we know less about their xylem vulnerability to cavitation and osmotic adjustment in a dry season. Osmotic adjustment enables the maintenance of cell turgor and may serve to sustain growth and photosynthesis under a dry condition (Cao 2000).

The objectives of the present study were: (1) to compare wood anatomy, hydraulic properties, and photosynthetic rate between co-occurring lianas and trees in a seasonal tropical rain forest; (2) to evaluate their drought tolerance by comparing the xylem vulnerability to cavitation induced dehydration and osmotic regulation in response to a drought. This dataset should provide a clear understanding of the difference in ecophysiology between lianas and trees, and might provide the basis for the future comparison with the two groups.

# Materials and methods

#### Study site and plant materials

The present study was carried out in a seasonal tropical rainforest in the Xishuangbanna Tropical

Botanical Garden (21°54'N, 101°46'E, 580 m a.s.l.), southern Yunnan, China. The climate of this region is dominated by the south-west monsoon coming from the Indian Ocean, with a distinct dry season from November to next April. Mean annual total precipitation is 1,379 mm, of which about 80% occurs in wet season (May–October). Mean annual temperature is 21.4°C.

Because of the inconvenience in accessing the canopy, we restricted the study to three liana species, Combretum latifolium BL. (Combretaceae), Quisqualis indica Linn. (Combretaceae), and Millettia pachycarpa Benth. (Papilionaceae), as well as three tree species, Bauhinia variegate Linn. var. candida (Roxb.) Voigt. (Caesalpiniaceae), Baccaurea ramiflora Lour. (Euphorbiaceae), and Syzygium szemaoense Merr. et Perry (Myrtaceae). Bauhinia variegate is deciduous, which sheds all of its leaves in February, and flush new leaves near the end of April. C. latifolium and Q. indica are brevi-deciduous, which exchange all their leaves during a short period (Brodribb et al. 2002) in the mid-dry season but are never completely leafless. The others are evergreen. These species are important components of the local forest; especially, C. latifolium and M. pachycarpa are currently increasing their abundance in the forests of the present region (Tang et al. 1997). All sampled plants grew in flat sites along the paths. If the trees carry lianas, some ecophysiological traits would be changed, for example, leaf water potential would be lowered (Pérez-Salicrup and Barker 2000); therefore, we selected the tree species that did not carry any lianas. Collections of branches and leaves and gas exchange measurements were made on the upper canopy (the height was >10 m) of trees along the paths with the help of a crane loaded on a truck.

Stem hydraulic conductivity and vulnerability curve

Because of the large difference in the distance to the apex between the two growth forms, terminal branches with similar diameters (7–10 mm) close to the canopy from five individuals per species were collected early in the morning during the wet season. These branches were sealed in black plastic bags and were transported to the laboratory. Maximum vessel lengths for each species were measured preliminarily by using the method described by Brodribb and Feild

(2000). Air at 0.05–0.1 MPa pressure was blown into the cut end of branches while cutting the branch tips under water. Bubbles were blown through when the branch length was shorter than the longest vessel. The lengths of stem segments used for hydraulic conductivity measurements were 10% larger than the longest vessel length measured. This ensured no presence of open vessels and small variation in branch length within species. The length of branches segments in this study were 30–50 cm for the tree species and 80–110 cm for the liana species.

The stem hydraulic conductivity  $(K_h)$  was determined using the steady-state flow-meter method. A total of 10 mol  $m^{-3}$  oxalic acid could prevent long-term decline in conductivity caused by microbial growth within the vessels (Sperry et al. 1988) and was considered as a suitable perfusion fluid in measuring hydraulic conductivity (Blake and Li 2003; Zhai et al. 2002). The branch segments were cut underwater with a fresh razor blade and then flushed at a pressure of 0.1 MPa for 10 to 20 min to remove air embolisms. The hydraulic conductivity per unit pressure gradient  $(K_{\rm h})$  equals the ratio between water flux (F, kg s<sup>-1</sup>) through an excised stem segment and the pressure gradient (dP/dx, MPa m<sup>-1</sup>) causing the flow,  $K_{\rm h} =$ F/(dP/dx). Sapwood specific conductivity ( $K_s$ , kg m<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> MPa<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained by dividing  $K_{\rm h}$  by the sapwood cross-section area. Leaf specific conductivity ( $K_L$ , kg m<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> MPa<sup>-1</sup>) was calculated as the ratio of  $K_{\rm h}$  to the leaf area, which is a measure of the hydraulic sufficiency of the stem to supply water to leaves distal to the stem (Cruiziat et al. 2002). Huber value (HV) is defined as sapwood cross-section area divided by the leaf area distal to the stem.

Stem vulnerability curves were determined by measuring percentage loss of hydraulic conductivity (PLC) due to embolism over a range of xylem water potential ( $\Psi_X$ ) reached during dehydration by the bench drying method (Tyree and Sperry 1989). For these measurements, at predawn in the wet season, a number of branches were cut from five individuals of each species. The branches were immediately wrapped in plastic bags to prevent further desiccation, brought to the laboratory, and were allowed to dry on the bench over different periods until the desired water potentials were approximately reached. Then, the branches together with some wet tissue papers were wrapped in plastic bags to equilibrate for 1–2 h. The water potential was measured on two to three leaves or small twigs with a pressure chamber (SKPM 1400, Skye Instruments Ltd, Powys, UK) and one stem segment was cut under water and connected to tubing apparatus. After the initial hydraulic conductivity ( $K_0$ ) was measured, the segment was flushed with the solution with 0.1 MPa pressure for 10 to 60 min to remove embolisms, and then maximum hydraulic conductivity ( $K_{max}$ ) was measured. PLC was calculated as  $100 \times (K_{max} - K_0)/K_{max}$ . The vulnerability curve was generated as the function of PLC on xylem water potential and was fitted by the equation described by Pammenter and Vander Willigen (1998). Xylem water potential at 50% loss of hydraulic conductivity (P50) was used to estimate the xylem vulnerability to cavitation.

#### Xylem anatomy and sapwood density

The vessel diameters  $(D_v)$  and sapwood density  $(\rho_{sapwood})$  were measured from branches used for hydraulic conductivity measurements (Kocacinar and Sage 2004). Transverse sections of xylem were made using a sliding microtome, and vessel lumen diameters were measured using a light microscope equipped with an ocular micrometer. After removing the bark and pith, the fresh sapwood was immersed in tap water overnight to saturate the samples. The volume of sapwood was then determined by water displacement method, and its dry mass was weighted after being oven-dried at 80°C for 72 h. The sapwood density was calculated as ratio of dry mass to fresh volume.

#### Leaf water potential

Predawn leaf water potential ( $\Psi_{pd}$ ) and midday leaf water potential ( $\Psi_{md}$ ) in the wet (August) and dry seasons (November) were measured with the pressure chamber. For these measurements, one leaf-bearing twig per stem was covered with a plastic bag for 1 h prior to excision to ensure equilibration between leaf water potential and xylem water potential of the shoots. Leaf samples were taken between 04:00– 06:00 h and 12:00–14:00 h (solar time). For each of the six species, five sun leaves from five different individuals were cut with a sharp razor blade and sealed immediately in small plastic bags with moist tissue papers in them and kept briefly in a cooler until balancing pressures were determined in the laboratory.

#### Pressure-volume curve

Pressure-volume (P-V) curves for leaves or terminal shoots provide a promising tool for estimating desiccation tolerance of plants (Kyriakopoulos and Richter 1981; Lenz et al. 2006). The relationship between leaf water potential ( $\Psi_L$ ) and relative water content (RWC) was quantified using bench drying technique (Lenz et al. 2006; Brodribb and Holbrook 2003) in mid-wet season (August) and early dry season (November). Terminal branches were harvested from five different individuals for each species, re-cut the broken ends underwater, and rehydrated until leaf water potential being greater than -0.05 MPa, after which leaves were detached for P-V curve determination. Leaf weight and water potential were measured periodically during slow desiccation of the sample leaves in the laboratory. The leaf desiccation continued until the leaf water potential stopped falling or began to rise due to cell damage. Osmotic potential at full turgor  $(\pi^{100})$  and turgor loss point  $(\pi^0)$  were calculated from the analysis of the regression between  $1/\Psi_L$  and RWC. The leaf weight at full turgor and dry mass were also measured to calculate the ratio of leaf dry weight to saturated fresh weight (DW/SW).

Net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate and leaf nitrogen concentration

In the wet season, maximum net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation  $(A_{\text{max}})$  were measured from fully-expanded, healthy sun leaves on consecutive sunny days (08:00–11:00 solar time) in the field with a photosynthesis system (Li-6400, LiCor, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA) from three to five individuals each species. The photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of 1,000 to 1,500 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> was used for the measurements, which was photosynthetically saturated for all species. Leaf nitrogen concentration was determined according to the Kjeldahl method by the Biogeochemical Laboratory of the Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden.

# Statistical analysis

season. Two-way ANOVA was used to test the effect of growth form and season and their interaction on leaf water potential and parameters from the P–V curves. The relationships between leaf traits were analyzed by linear regression analysis.

# Results

Taken as a group, the three liana species had relatively wider  $D_{\rm v}$  and lower  $\rho_{\rm sapwood}$  compared to the three tree species (Table 1). The mean  $K_{\rm S}$  value of the three liana species was twice as high as that of three tree species. Because of their substantially lower HV, the liana species showed similar  $K_{\rm L}$  compared to the tree species. Compared with the trees, the three liana species showed higher N concentrations and  $A_{\text{max}}$ . On the other hand, the three liana species had significantly higher P50 values compared to the three tree species (Fig. 1), indicating more vulnerability of the lianas' xylem to cavitation. PLC in the three liana species increased more rapidly with decreasing water potential than the tree species, indicating narrower safety margin in the lianas. Combining the data together from the six species studied, P50 was correlated positively with vessel diameter and negatively with sapwood density, while  $K_{\rm S}$  were correlated positively with vessel diameter and P50 and negatively with sapwood density (Fig. 2a-e). Leaf N concentration and A<sub>max</sub> were positively correlated with  $K_{\rm S}$  across species (Fig. 3).

In the dry season,  $\Psi_{pd}$  and  $\Psi_{md}$  decreased significantly for all species except  $\Psi_{pd}$  in the liana Millettia pachycarpa (Table 2), while the values of  $\pi^{100}$  and  $\pi^{0}$  were significantly reduced and DW/SW values were significantly increased for the three tree species. The  $\pi^{100}$  values were also reduced for two of the three liana species. However, there was no significant difference in  $\pi^0$  between the two seasons for all of the three liana species (Table 2). Taken as two groups, the lianas and trees did not differ significantly in all variables except for  $\pi^0$  in the wet season. In the dry season, the lianas showed higher  $\Psi_{\rm pd},~\pi^{100}$  and  $\pi^0$  and lower DW/SW, but the two groups did not differ significantly in  $\Psi_{md}$  (Table 2). Two-way ANOVA revealed the significant effects of growth form on  $\Psi_{pd}$ , DW/SW and  $\pi^{100}$ , and season on  $\Psi_{pd}$ ,  $\Psi_{md}$ ,  $\pi^{100}$  and  $\pi^{0}$ , as well as the interaction of growth form and season on all of these variables except for  $\Psi_{md}$  (Table 3).

Table 1Sapwood specificstem, HV), vessel diametermeasured in the wet seasor	hydraulic conductivity (K <sub>s</sub> ), $(D_v)$ , sapwood density ( $ ho_{ m sapw}$	leaf specific hydraulic condu <sub>ood</sub> ), maximum net CO <sub>2</sub> assi	ctivity ( <i>K</i> <sub>L</sub> ), Hub milation rate (A <sub>m</sub>	er value (the rai <sub>ax</sub> ), and nitroge	tio of sapwood cre n concentrations (	sss-section area to the leaf a N) of the three liana and th	urea distal to the uree tree species
Species	$K_{\rm S} \; ({\rm kg \ m^{-1} \ s^{-1} \ MPa^{-1}})$	$K_{\rm L} \times 10^{-4}$ (kg m <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> MPa <sup>-1</sup> )	HV × $10^{-4}$	$D_{\rm V}~(\mu{ m m})$	$ ho_{ m sapwood}$ (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	$A_{\rm max}~(\mu{ m mol}~{ m mol}^{-2}~{ m s}^{-1})$	N (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )
Lianas							
Combretum latifolium	$3.14\pm0.20$	$4.83\pm0.63$	$1.54\pm0.17$	$212 \pm 5$	$0.46\pm0.01$	$10.85\pm0.49$	$19.93\pm0.18$
Millettia pachycarpa	$3.13\pm0.52$	$3.77 \pm 1.18$	$1.10\pm0.21$	$165\pm 6$	$0.49\pm0.01$	$12.05\pm0.94$	$36.96\pm0.22$
Quisqualis indica	$3.07\pm0.24$	$4.44 \pm 0.34$	$0.87\pm0.24$	$173 \pm 13$	$0.50\pm0.02$	$10.50\pm0.34$	$35.14\pm0.05$
Trees							
Bauhinia variegate	$2.07 \pm 0.11$	$6.33\pm0.39$	$3.06\pm0.11$	$126\pm 5$	$0.51\pm0.01$	$7.84\pm0.63$	$20.88\pm0.04$
Syzygium szemaoense	$1.64\pm0.20$	$5.33\pm0.52$	$3.34\pm0.15$	$97 \pm 2 d$	$0.52\pm0.01$	$10.36\pm1.93$	$12.22\pm0.22$
Baccaurea ramiflora	$0.74\pm0.03$	$3.70\pm0.38$	$5.02\pm0.50$	$79 \pm 1 d$	$0.56\pm0.02$	$4.97 \pm 0.99$	$14.93\pm0.05$
Liana means	$3.11^{*}$	4.34	$1.17^{*}$	183*	0.48*	$11.13^{*}$	$30.68^{*}$
Tree means	1.48	5.12	3.81	101	0.53	7.72	16.01
Data are means $\pm$ SE for $\epsilon$	each species, $n = 5$ . * Indica	tes significant differences b	etween lianas and	I trees as two g	groups ( $P < 0.05$ ,	t-test)	



Fig. 1 The curves of vulnerability to cavitation of terminal branches for each species measured in the wet season.  $\Psi_x$  is xylem water potential. *PLC* is percent loss of hydraulic conductivity. P < 0.001 for all the regression lines. The vertical dashed lines indicate the xylem water potential at 50% loss of hydraulic conductivity (P50)

# Discussion

Compared to the three tree species, the three liana species showed substantially higher  $K_{\rm S}$ , which was mainly contributed by their larger vessels (Fig. 2), since theoretical  $K_{\rm h}$  is proportional to vessel diameter to the fourth power according to Hagen-Poiseuille equation (Tyree and Ewers 1991; Zimmermann 1983). By having wider vessels, lianas can transport water more efficiently and may compensate hydraulically for their narrow stems (Chiu and Ewers 1992; Ewers and Fisher 1991; Field and Balun 2008). On the other hand, the lianas had much lower HV (Table 1), indicating that the lianas could support more leaves with the same cross-section sapwood area. Due to their lower HV values,  $K_L$  of the liana species was similar to that of the trees, indicating that individual leaves could get similar water supply across the liana and tree species, consistent with the



**Fig. 2** Hydraulic conductivity and xylem vulnerability to cavitation as a function of xylem traits (**a**–**d**), and correlation between hydraulic conductivity and xylem vulnerability (**e**) across the three liana species (*open*) and three tree species (*filled*). These characteristics were measured in the wet season.  $K_{\rm S}$  = sapwood specific hydraulic conductivity; P50 = xylem



**Fig. 3** Leaf N concentration (N) and maximum net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate  $(A_{\text{max}})$  as a function of sapwood specific hydraulic conductivity ( $K_{\text{S}}$ ). Leaf N concentration and  $A_{\text{max}}$  were measured in the wet season. Data are means  $\pm$  SE, n = 3-5. See Fig. 2 for indications of the symbols for the species

water potential at 50% loss of hydraulic conductivity. Data are means  $\pm$  SE, n = 5. The different symbols represent different species: *Combretum latifolium (open circles)*, *Millettia pachycarpa (open triangles)*, *Quisqualis indica (open diamonds)*, *Bauhinia variegate (filled circles)*, *Syzygium szemaoense (filled triangles)*, and *Baccaurea ramiflora (filled diamonds)* 

finding of Ewers et al. (1989). On the other hand, the three liana species showed higher N concentration and  $A_{\text{max}}$  than the three tree species (Fig. 3), which were consistent with the findings of other studies (Cai 2007; Salzer et al. 2005). By having relatively cheap (lower wood density) but hydraulically efficient xylem and more efficient leaves, the lianas should have an advantage over the trees on carbon assimilation and growth in favorable habitats.

The hydraulic architecture in woody plants is consistent with the maximization of transport efficiency operating within mechanical constraints (McCulloh and Sperry 2005). Lianas could escape this trade-off because they are structural parasites, ascending to the canopy with mechanical support of the host plants. They do not need to develop as much fibre as in trees for mechanical support. Therefore, lianas have higher hydraulic conductivity per sapwood area and support more leaves with a narrower stem by packing larger vessels in a smaller xylem area (Table 1). Furthermore, lianas allocate more biomass to photosynthesis tissues by reducing biomass investment to the supporting structures. Both higher proportion of photosynthetic biomass and

Species	Seasons	$\Psi_{pd}$ (MPa)	$\Psi_{md}$ (MPa)	DW/SW (g $g^{-1}$ )	$\pi^{100}$ (MPa)	$\pi^0$ (MPa)
Lianas						
Combretum latifolium	Wet	$-0.26 \pm 0.03^{*}$	$-0.51 \pm 0.05*$	$0.32\pm0.02$	$-0.72 \pm 0.10^{*}$	$-1.16\pm0.26$
	Dry	$-0.41\pm0.02$	$-1.28\pm0.05$	$0.31\pm0.01$	$-1.16\pm0.08$	$-1.42\pm0.09$
Millettia pachycarpa	Wet	$-0.20\pm0.01$	$-0.63 \pm 0.69*$	$0.40\pm0.01^*$	$-1.12\pm0.09$	$-1.55\pm0.10$
	Dry	$-0.23\pm0.02$	$-1.29\pm0.03$	$0.34\pm0.03$	$-1.34\pm0.03$	$-1.49\pm0.06$
Quisqualis indica	Wet	$-0.26 \pm 0.01*$	$-0.97 \pm 0.05*$	$0.30\pm0.02^*$	$-0.92 \pm 0.12^{*}$	$-1.37\pm0.11$
	Dry	$-0.34\pm0.02$	$-1.48\pm0.05$	$0.26\pm0.01$	$-1.32\pm0.05$	$-1.43\pm0.04$
Trees						
Bauhinia variegate	Wet	$-0.26 \pm 0.01*$	$-0.76 \pm 0.07*$	$0.33\pm0.01^*$	$-1.03 \pm 0.01*$	$-1.15 \pm 0.04*$
	Dry	$-0.79\pm0.08$	$-1.71\pm0.03$	$0.37\pm0.01$	$-1.52\pm0.07$	$-1.70\pm0.11$
Syzygium szemaoense	Wet	$-0.27 \pm 0.03*$	$-0.73 \pm 0.02*$	$0.36\pm0.01^*$	$-1.00 \pm 0.07*$	$-1.28 \pm 0.07*$
	Dry	$-0.34\pm0.05$	$-1.35\pm0.09$	$0.39\pm0.01$	$-1.56\pm0.03$	$-1.77\pm0.03$
Baccaurea ramiflora	Wet	$-0.16 \pm 0.01*$	$-0.54 \pm 0.31*$	$0.31 \pm 0.03^{*}$	$-0.74 \pm 0.02*$	$-1.00 \pm 0.07*$
	Dry	$-0.41\pm0.04$	$-1.20\pm0.02$	$0.35\pm0.01$	$-1.40\pm0.13$	$-1.56\pm0.14$
Liana means	Wet	-0.24	-0.74	0.34	-0.92	-1.36*
Tree means		-0.22	-0.68	0.33	-0.93	-1.14
Liana means	Dry	-0.32*	-1.35	0.31*	-1.27*	-1.45*
Tree means		-0.63	-1.44	0.37	-1.50	-1.68

Table 2 Average leaf water potentials and parameters from the P–V curves in the three liana and three tree species in the wet and dry season

 $\Psi_{pd}$  predawn leaf water potential,  $\Psi_{md}$  midday leaf water potential, DW/SW the ratio of leaf dry weight to saturated fresh weight,  $\pi^{100}$  osmotic potential at full turgor,  $\pi^0$  water potential at turgor loss point

Data are means  $\pm$  SE, n = 5. \* indicate significant seasonal differences within the same species and significant differences between the lianas and trees as two groups in the same season (P < 0.05, *t*-test)

 Table 3
 F values (P values) for the two-way ANOVA on leaf

 water potential and parameters from the P–V curves

Variable	Growth form	Season	Growth form $\times$ Season
$\Psi_{pd}$	6.39*	17.69***	7.98**
$\Psi_{md}$	0.16 ns	151.59***	1.73 ns
DW/SW	5.89*	0.01 ns	9.99**
$\pi^{100}$	4.97*	77.60***	4.38*
$\pi^0$	0.48 ns	39.84***	22.50***

See Table 2 for indications of the abbreviations for the variables. *ns* no significant at P > 0.05, \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\* P < 0.001

higher photosynthetic capacity of the liana leaves must result in fast growth, enabling them to occupy canopy quickly.

The curves of vulnerability to cavitation revealed that the lianas were more susceptible to dehydrationinduced cavitation compared to the trees (Fig. 1). This should be related to their larger vessels and lower sapwood density (Fig. 2b, d), which are vulnerable to cavitation under large negative pressure (Hacke et al. 2001). There is usually a trade-off between hydraulic efficiency and vulnerability to cavitation (Hacke et al. 2006). The lianas maximized their hydraulic efficiency, thus enhancing their water transportation and growth, with the cost of hydraulic safety (Fig. 2e). However, if such a trade-off has an evolutionary basis, low cavitation resistance could be maintained in the habitats where high conductivity is adaptive (Maherali et al. 2004) and the sacrificial strategy of lianas might be adaptive for a habitat where water stress is generally modest (Hacke et al. 2006). Although there is a distinct dry season in a seasonal tropical rain forest, several physiological and morphological characteristics of lianas discussed below could reduce the risk of the damage from the xylem cavitation during the dry season. (1) Lianas usually have well-developed and deep root system (Fichtner and Schulze 1990; Restom and Nepstad 2004), thus they could tap soil water at depth (Andrade et al. 2005), indeed as indicated by the

high  $\Psi_{pd}$  of lianas in the dry season by this study. As  $\Psi_{pd}$  indicates soil water potential at the root zone, higher  $\Psi_{pd}$  in the lianas suggested they had deeper roots; (2) Lianas might have strong stomatal regulation (Tay et al. 2007; Forseth and Teramura 1987). A decrease of hydraulic conductivity might be a signal for stomatal closure (Brodribb et al. 2003), which might result in maintaining stem and leaf water potential that insures the integrity of the water transport pathway upstream and avoids runway embolism. (3) Lianas might have large stem water storage as indicated by their low sapwood density and larger difference between  $\Psi_{pd}$  and  $\Psi_{md}$  of the present study, which could significantly buffer the transpiration water loss of leaves (Goldstein et al. 1998; Meinzer et al. 2008). Having higher photosynthetic rate and maintaining higher water potential in the dry season should be particularly advantageous for the lianas because of the exposure of their leaves in upper canopy and enhancement of photosynthesis by the high irradiance in the dry season.

Osmotic regulation is an important mechanism for plants to cope with drought. The three trees showed osmotic regulation as indicated by a significant decrease in  $\pi^0$  in the dry season, but there was no significant difference in  $\pi^0$  between the two seasons for the three liana species (Table 2). In addition, the two brevi-deciduous liana species exchanged their leaves in the middle dry season, indicating that these liana species could get sufficient water supply even during the peak drought period. This was consistent with Putz and Windsor (1987) who found the concentration of flowering or leaf exchanging of most of lianas in a seasonal rainforest of Panama in the middle dry season.

In summary, the lianas had much lower HV, but larger vessels and higher  $K_{\rm S}$  and  $A_{\rm max}$ . During the seasonal drought, the lianas were able to maintain higher predawn leaf water potential, suggesting that they could absorb more reliable water source at deeper soil layers; the lianas invested less energy in osmotic regulation and therefore  $A_{\rm max}$  of the lianas might be less affected. With higher proportion of leaf biomass and sustained higher  $A_{\rm max}$ , the liana leaves should be able to fix more carbon during a year than the tree leaves.

Acknowledgments The authors are grateful to Xue-Wei Fu and Hong Ma for field assistance and Yun Fu of the

Biogeochemical Laboratory of our botanical garden for the chemical analysis, and to Ze-Xin Fan, Jiao-Lin Zhang, and Guang-You Hao for their useful suggestions and discussion. Acknowledgements are extended to the anonymous reviewers who made helpful suggestions and comments on the manuscript. This study was financially supported by a Grant (No. 2006CB403207) from a major "973" project funded by the Chinese Ministry of Science and Technology.

### References

- Andrade JL, Meinzer FC, Goldstein G, Schnitzer SA (2005) Water uptake and transport in lianas and co-occurring trees of a seasonally dry tropical forest. Trees (Berl) 19:282–289. doi:10.1007/s00468-004-0388-x
- Blake TJ, Li J (2003) Hydraulic adjustment in jack pine and black spruce seedlings under controlled cycles of dehydration and rehydration. Physiol Plant 117:532–539. doi: 10.1034/j.1399-3054.2003.00059.x
- Brodribb TJ, Feild TS (2000) Stem hydraulic supply is linked to leaf photosynthetic capacity: evidence from New Caledonian and Tasmanian rainforests. Plant Cell Environ 23:1381–1388. doi:10.1046/j.1365-3040.2000.00647.x
- Brodribb TJ, Holbrook NM (2003) Stomatal closure during leaf dehydration, correlation with other leaf physiological traits. Plant Physiol 132:2166–2173. doi:10.1104/pp.103. 023879
- Brodribb TJ, Holbrook NM, Gutiérrez MV (2002) Hydraulic and photosynthetic co-ordination in seasonally dry tropical forest trees. Plant Cell Environ 25:1435–1444. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-3040.2002.00919.x
- Brodribb TJ, Holbrook NM, Edwards EJ, Gutiérrez MV (2003) Relations between stomatal closure, leaf turgor and xylem vulnerability in eight tropical dry forest trees. Plant Cell Environ 26:443–450. doi:10.1046/j.1365-3040.2003.00 975.x
- Cai ZQ (2007) Lianas and trees in tropical forests in south China. PhD thesis, Wageningen University
- Cao K-F (2000) Water relations and gas exchange of tropical saplings during a prolonged drought in a Bornean heath forest, with reference to root architecture. J Trop Ecol 16:101–116. doi:10.1017/S0266467400001292
- Chiu S-T, Ewers FW (1992) Xylem structure and water transport in a twiner, a scrambler, and a shrub of *Lonicera* (Caprifoliaceae). Trees (Berl) 6:216–224. doi:10.1007/ BF00224339
- Choat B, Sack L, Holbrook NM (2007) Diversity of hydraulic traits in nine *Cordia* species growing in tropical forest with contrasting precipitation. New Phytol 175:686–698. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02137.x
- Clayton-Greene KA (1983) The tissue water relationships of Callitris columellaris, Eucalyptus melliodora and Eucalyptus mirocarpa investigated using the pressure-volume technique. Oecologia 57:368–373. doi:10.1007/BF0037 7182
- Cruiziat P, Cochard H, Améglio T (2002) Hydraulic architecture of trees: main concepts and results. Ann Sci 59:723– 752. doi:10.1051/forest:2002060

- Ewers FW, Fisher JB (1991) Why vines have narrow stems: histological trends in *Bauhinia* (Fabaceae). Oecologia 88:233–237. doi:10.1007/BF00320816
- Ewers FW, Fisher JB, Chiu S-T (1989) Water transport in the liana *Bauhinia fassoglensis* (Fabaceae). Plant Physiol 91:1625–1631. doi:10.1104/pp.91.4.1625
- Ewers FW, Fisher JB, Chiu S-T (1990) A survey of vessel dimensions in stems of tropical lianas and other growth forms. Oecologia 84:544–552
- Fichtner K, Schulze ED (1990) Xylem water flow in tropical vines as measured by a steady state heating method. Oecologia 82:355–361. doi:10.1007/BF00317483
- Field TS, Balun L (2008) Xylem hydraulic and photosynthetic function of *Gnetum* (Gnetales) species from Papua New Guinea. New Phytol 177:665–675
- Forseth IN, Teramura AH (1987) Field photosynthesis, microclimate and water relations of an exotic temperate liana, *Pueraria lobata*, kudzu. Oecologia 71:262–267. doi:10.1007/BF00377293
- Goldstein G, Andrade JL, Meinzer FC, Holbrook NM, Cavelier J, Jackson P, Celis A (1998) Stem water storage and diurnal patterns of water use in tropical forest canopy trees. Plant Cell Environ 21:397–406. doi:10.1046/j.1365-3040.1998.00273.x
- Granados J, Körner C (2002) In deep shade, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases the vigor of tropical climbing plants. Glob Change Biol 8:1109–1117. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2486.20 02.00533.x
- Hacke UG, Sperry JS, Pockman WT, Davis SD, McCulloh KA (2001) Trends in wood density and structure are linked to prevention of xylem implosion by negative pressure. Oecologia 126:457–461. doi:10.1007/s004420 100628
- Hacke UG, Sperry JS, Wheeler JK, Castro L (2006) Scaling of angiosperm xylem structure with safety and efficiency. Tree Physiol 26:689–701
- Kocacinar F, Sage RF (2004) Photosynthetic pathway alters hydraulic structure and function in woody plants. Oecologia 139:214–223. doi:10.1007/s00442-004-1517-3
- Kyriakopoulos E, Richter H (1981) Pressure-volume curves and drought injury. Plant Physiol 52:124–128. doi:10. 1111/j.1399-3054.1981.tb06044.x
- Lenz TI, Wright IJ, Westoby M (2006) Interrelations among pressure-volume curve traits across species and water availability gradients. Physiol Plant 127:423–433. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.2006.00680.x
- Lopez OR, Kursar TA, Cochard H, Tyree MT (2005) Interspecific variation in xylem vulnerability to cavitation among tropical tree and shrub species. Tree Physiol 25:1553–1562
- Maherali H, Pockman WT, Jackson RB (2004) Adaptive variation in the vulnerability of woody plants to xylem cavitation. Ecology 85:2184–2199. doi:10.1890/02-0538
- McCulloh KA, Sperry JS (2005) Patterns in hydraulic architecture and their implications for transport efficiency. Tree Physiol 25:257–267
- Meinzer FC, Woodruff DR, Domec J-C, Goldstein G, Campanello PI, Gatti MG, Villalobos-Vega R (2008) Coordination of leaf and stem water transport properties in tropical forest trees. Oecologia 156:31–41. doi: 10.1007/s00442-008-0974-5

- Pammenter NW, Vander Willigen C (1998) A mathematical and statistical analysis of the curves illustrating vulnerability of xylem to cavitation. Tree Physiol 18:589–593
- Pérez-Salicrup DR, Barker MG (2000) Effect of liana cutting on water potential and growth of adult *Senna multijuga* (Caesalpinioideae) trees in a Bolivian tropical forest. Oecologia 124:469–475. doi:10.1007/PL00008872
- Putz FE (1984a) How trees avoid and shed lianas. Biotropica 16:19–23. doi:10.2307/2387889
- Putz FE (1984b) The natural history of lianas on Barro Colorado Island, Panama. Ecology 65:1713–1724. doi:10.23 07/1937767
- Putz FE, Windsor DM (1987) Liana phenology on Barro Colorado Island, Panama. Biotropica 19:334–341. doi: 10.2307/2388631
- Reddy MS, Parthasarathy N (2003) Liana diversity and distribution in four tropical dry evergreen forests on the Coromandel coast of south India. Biodivers Conserv 12:1609–1627. doi:10.1023/A:1023620901624
- Restom TG, Nepstad DC (2004) Seedling growth dynamics of a deeply rooting liana in a secondary forest in eastern Amazonia. For Ecol Manag 190:109–118
- Salzer J, Matezki S, Kazda M (2005) Nutritional differences and leaf acclimation of climbing plants and the associated vegetation in different types of an Andean montane rainforest. Oecologia 147:417–425. doi:10.1007/s00442-005-0294-y
- Schnitzer SA (2005) A mechanistic explanation for global patterns of liana abundance and distribution. Am Nat 166:262–276. doi:10.1086/431250
- Schnitzer SA, Bongers F (2002) The ecology of lianas and their role in forests. Trends Ecol Evol 17:223–230. doi: 10.1016/S0169-5347(02)02491-6
- Schnitzer SA, Dalling JW, Carson WP (2000) The impact of lianas on tree regeneration in tropical forest canopy gaps: evidence for an alternative pathway of gap-phase regeneration. J Ecol 88:655–666. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2745. 2000.00489.x
- Sperry JS, Donnelly JR, Tyree MT (1988) A method for measuring hydraulic conductivity and embolism in xylem. Plant Cell Environ 11:35–40. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040. 1988.tb01774.x
- Swaine MD, Grace J (2007) Lianas may be favoured by low rainfall: evidence from Ghana. Plant Ecol 192:271–276. doi:10.1007/s11258-007-9319-4
- Tang JW, Zhang JH, Song QS, Feng ZL (1997) Analysis on the characteristics of *Millettia laptobotrya* community in Xishuangbanna. Guihaia 17:338–344. (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Tay AC, Abdullah AM, Awang M, Furukawa A (2007) Midday depression of photosynthesis in *Enkleia malaccensis*, a woody climber in a tropical rainforest. Photosynthetica 45:189–193. doi:10.1007/s11099-007-0031-3
- Trifilò P, Raimondo F, Nardini A, Lo Gullo MA, Salleo S (2004) Drought resistance of *Ailanthus altissima*: root hydraulic and water relations. Tree Physiol 24:107–114
- Tyree MT, Ewers FW (1991) The hydraulic architecture of trees and other woody plants. New Phytol 119:345–360. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.1991.tb00035.x
- Tyree MT, Sperry JS (1989) Vulnerability of xylem to cavitation and embolism. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol

Biol 40:19–38. doi:10.1146/annurev.pp.40.060189.000 315

- Wright SJ, Calderon O, Hernandez A, Paton S (2004) Are lianas increasing in importance in tropical forests? A 17year record from Panama. Ecology 85:484–489. doi: 10.1890/02-0757
- Zhai HB, Li JY, Jiang JP (2002) Comparative study on hydraulic architecture characteristic of *pinus tabulaeformis* and *platycladus orientalis* seedlings. Acta Ecol Sin 22:1890–1895. (in Chinese with English abstract)
- Zhu H, Xu ZF, Wang H, Li BG (2004) Tropical rain forest fragmentation and its ecological and species diversity changes in southern Yunan. Biodivers Conserv 13:1355– 1372. doi:10.1023/B:BIOC.0000019397.98407.c3
- Zimmermann MH (1983) Xylem structure and the ascent of sap. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York